$\times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted along the elongated $b$ axis in a thin-walled glass capillary tube with a small amount of mother liquor.

Diffraction data to $1.78 \AA$ resolution were collected at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a modified Nonius precession camera using the rotation mode, flat-film cassette, with a crystal-to-film distance of 48.4 mm . A Rigaku Denki rotating-anode generator operating at 3.6 kW and a monochromatized (pyrolytic graphite) beam were used with a $0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ collimator. By use of Kodak DEF-2 film, data were collected over $3^{\circ}$ rotation intervals, the usual rate being $3^{\circ} / 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

The intensity of the reflections was measured by means of an Optronics rotating-drum densitometer and evaluated by program FILME. ${ }^{47}$ After completion of the $90^{\circ}$ scan about the $b$ axis, the crystal was mounted about the $c$ axis and an additional $21^{\circ}$ was scanned.

Data processing was performed by the PROTEIN program system of Steigemann. ${ }^{17}$ Separate films were scaled and multiple measurements of the same reflection including those related by symmetry were merged. The internal consistency checking feature of the PROTEIN program was used to delete reflections exhibiting gross discrepancies. This method corrects for slight crystal decay. Based upon similar studies, ${ }^{14}$ radiation damage is typically less than $5 \%$ over 7 days. Here, 5 days was required for complete data collection; no correction for crystal decay nor absorption was made. $R_{\text {merge }}$ measures the agreement of intensity measurements from each source with mean values obtained from several sources and is defined as $\sum\left|I_{\mathrm{i}}-(I)\right| / \sum I_{\mathrm{i}}$, where $I_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the intensity value of individual
(47) Schwager, P.; Bartels, K.; Jones, T. A. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1975, 8, 275-280, with improvements by William S. Bennett.
measurements and ( $I$ ) the corresponding mean values, the summation being over all measurements common to two or more films. $R_{\text {merge }}$ values ranged from 0.068 to 0.123 with a mean $R_{\text {merge }}$ value of $0.087 . R_{\text {symm }}$ (defined as $\sum\left|I_{\mathrm{i}}-(I)\right| / \sum I_{\mathrm{i}}$, where ( $\left.I\right\rangle$ is the average intensity and $I_{\mathrm{i}}$ the intensity of individual measurements with symmetrical correspondence) was in the range of 0.043-0.078. Of 36367 reflections above F1LME's $1 \sigma$ significance level, 16151 unique reflections, comprising $71 \%$ of the possible reflections to $1.78 \AA$ resolution, were obtained. As a separate evaluation of the effective resolution of the data, Sparrow's resolution criterion was employed, ${ }^{23}$ giving $1.98 \AA$ for this data set. Both reflection data and coordinates are deposited with Protein Data Bank. ${ }^{48,49}$
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#### Abstract

The structural requirements for mutase-catalyzed Claisen rearrangement by chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase from Escherichia coli have been established. The chorismate analogue lacking the carboxyl group at $C_{1}$ (5) was not a substrate for chorismate mutase. The methyl ether of chorismate [ $( \pm)-6]$ was a good substrate for chorismate mutase $\left(k_{\text {cat }} / k_{\text {uncat }}=2.0 \times 10^{4}\right)$. The half-lives for Claisen rearrangement and aromatization of 4-deshydroxychorismate $(19)$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pD 7.2 , were 3.5 and 8 min , respectively. In the presence of large amounts of enzyme, it was demonstrated that the Claisen rearrangement of enantiomerically pure 19 was accelerated at least 100 -fold by chorismate mutase. Data available from other studies have demonstrated that ester 3 is not a substrate for chorismate mutase, and the $k_{\text {cat }} / k_{\text {uncat }}$ for dihydrochorismate analogue $\mathbf{4}$ is similar to that for chorismate. These results establish that the only functional groups required on the allyl vinyl ether moiety of chorismate for mutase-catalyzed rearrangement are the two carboxylate groups.


Chorismate (1) is the branch-point intermediate in the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids and growth factors in bacteria, fungi, and higher plants. ${ }^{1}$ The first step in the biosynthesis of phenylalanine and tyrosine from 1, the intramolecular rearrangement to prephenate (2), is catalyzed by the enzyme chorismate mutase (Scheme I). The uncatalyzed rearrangement of $\mathbf{1}$ to 2 occurs readily in aqueous solution with a half-life of 15.7 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5 .^{2}$ Chorismate mutase accelerates the rearrangement by a factor of $2 \times 10^{6}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5 .^{2}$ Both the uncatalyzed ${ }^{3}$ and the enzyme-catalyzed ${ }^{4.5}$ reactions proceed through a chairlike transition state.

[^0]Scheme I


Chart I


The chorismate mutase catalyzed Claisen rearrangement of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{2}$ appears to be the only established example of an enzymecatalyzed pericyclic reaction in primary metabolism. ${ }^{6}$ This unique

## Scheme II



Scheme III ${ }^{a}$

$10 \quad 11, R^{1}=H, R^{2}=$ TBDMS
11, $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=$ TBDM
12, $R^{1}=M e, R^{2}=H$
13, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$


14


15

16. $R=M e$
17. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Na}$
${ }^{a}$ Key: (a) $(\mathrm{PhSe})_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (b) $n$ - BuLi , ( MeO$)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$; (c) $37 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CCOCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$; (e) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, pyridine; (f) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{HOAc}$; (g) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (h) ( MeO$)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (i) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (j) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, acetone; (k) NaOH , THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (1) 1 M HCl ; (m) benzene (reflux); (n) Amberlite IR-120.
situation has stimulated considerable effort to understand the details of the enzyme-catalyzed process, and a variety of en-zyme-catalyzed mechanisms have been considered. ${ }^{7.8}$ Of central importance is establishment of which structural features of $\mathbf{1}$ are essential for enzyme catalysis. Haslam and co-workers have reported investigations with 3 and 4 (Chart I). ${ }^{9}$ Ester 3 was not a substrate for chorismate mutase, and it did not inhibit enzyme processing of 1 . These observations establish that the side-chain carboxylate group is essential for enzymatic activity. These workers reported also that $\mathbf{4}$ did not display any tendency to rearrange with chorismate mutase, but it was a modest inhibitor. Dihydro analogue 4 is, in fact, an excellent substrate for chorismate mutase, but observation of enzymatic catalysis requires special experimental conditions since the uncatalyzed reaction is so slow. ${ }^{10}$ Therefore, the $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ olefinic group is not important for mutase catalysis. Unexplained thus far is the importance of the $C_{1}$ carboxylate group and the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ hydroxyl group. To answer these questions, we have investigated analogues 5-7 (Chart I).

The methyl ester of 5 was prepared as described previously. ${ }^{8}$ Base-catalyzed hydrolysis and acidification provided 5 (hygroscopic

[^1]Table I. Data for the Uncatalyzed and Enzyme-Catalyzed
Rearrangement of $(-)-1$ and $( \pm)-6$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5^{a}$

|  | $(-)-1$ | $( \pm)-6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k_{\text {uncat }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $K_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{mM})$ | 0.14 | 1.9 |
| $k_{\text {cat }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 29 | 0.56 |
| enzymic rate acceleration $\left(k_{\text {cat }} / k_{\text {uncat }}\right)$ | $2.3 \times 10^{6}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{4}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Error limits: enzyme-catalyzed reactions, $\pm 10 \%$; uncatalyzed reactions, $\pm 5 \%$.

## Scheme IV


solid). Acid 5 underwent facile Claisen rearrangement to 8 with subsequent dehydration to phenylpyruvate (9) (Scheme II). When the reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, prephenate analogue 8 was not detected. The half-life for rearrangement of 5 in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pD} 7.4$, was 1.7 h . The rearrangement of 5 was not catalyzed by the mutase activity of chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase, and 5 was a modest competitive inhibitor of mutase activity for the processing of chorismate ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.4 \mathrm{mM}$ with $K_{\mathrm{m}}=0.16 \mathrm{mM}$ for 1). The C ${ }_{1}$ carboxyl group is essential for mutase activity,

The methyl ether of chorismic acid (6) and the corresponding dimethyl ester (14) were prepared as outlined in Scheme III. Reaction of oxirane $10^{11}$ with $\mathrm{PhSe}^{-}$gave 11 (78\%). Alkylation of the alkoxide salt of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with dimethyl sulfate followed by cleavage of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) ether group provided alcohol $12(60 \%)$. Conversion of 12 to the malonate derivative ( $54 \%$, steps $d-f$ ) and subsequent transformation to enolpyruvate ester 13 (steps g-i, 62\%) followed procedures developed earlier for the synthesis of $1 .{ }^{12}$ Elimination of the selenoxide derivative of $\mathbf{1 3}$ gave ester $\mathbf{1 4}(\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ ), and hydrolysis of 13 followed by selenoxide elimination gave racemic 6 (20\%). Thermolysis of 14 in benzene effected Claisen rearrangement to 16, and hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1 6}$ provided 17.

In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{1 4}$ underwent Claisen rearrangement to 16 ( $77 \%$ ) and aromatization to methyl $p$-methoxybenzoate (13\%). The half-life for the formation of 16 under these conditions was 185 h . In $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pD 7.4, the dianion of 6 formed $p$ methoxybenzoate ( $4 \%$ ) and a mixture ( $96 \%$ ) of 17 and 9 from aromatization of 17 . The half-life for the Claisen rearrangement was 6.8 h . Analogue 6 was a reasonable substrate for the mutase activity of chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase. A comparison of the uncatalyzed rate of Claisen rearrangement and the enzyme-catalyzed data for $(-)-1$ and $( \pm) \cdot 6$ is provided in Table I. Clearly the enzyme does not require the free hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ for catalysis. It is reasonable to assume that the less effective binding and turnover of $\mathbf{6}$ compared to $\mathbf{1}$ are due to the steric requirement of the $4-O$-methyl group.

To answer the question whether any oxygen function was needed at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ for catalysis by chorismate mutase required the preparation of 4-deshydroxychorismate (7, Scheme IV). Investigations by other workers showed that at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2: 1$ methanol/water) ester 18 underwent Claisen rearrangement to 20 at a rate 97 times faster

[^2]
## Scheme $V^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Key: (a) PhSeLi, THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, benzene (reflux); (c) DIAD, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{THF}$; (d) MsCl, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) PhSeLi , THF; (g) $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NIO}_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HP}-$ $\mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; (h) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$, benzene, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (i) $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
than Claisen rearrangement of dimethyl chorismate; in addition, substantial aromatization to 23 was observed. ${ }^{8}$ Ester 18 was prepared by the literature procedure, ${ }^{8}$ and for comparison, dimethyl 6 -deshydroxyisochorismate (25) was prepared in similar fashion from the corresponding cyclohexadienol. In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the half-life for rearrangement of $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{2 0}$ was 7.3 h , and the half-life for aromatization to 23 was 7.3 h . Under the same conditions, $\mathbf{2 5}$ rearranged to $\mathbf{2 6}$ with a half-life of 2.3 h , and the half-life for aromatization to 23 was 7.4 h . (For comparison, dimethyl isochorismate undergoes Claisen rearrangement with a half-life of $40 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{13}$ ) These results are consistent with solvent effects and the effects of conformational equilibria on the rate of Claisen rearrangement of chorismate-type structures and other related systems that have been described in detail elsewhere, ${ }^{7,8,14,15}$ and from the data it was clear that the Claisen rearrangement of 7 would be a very fast reaction. Initial experiments of the low-temperature, base-catalyzed hydrolysis of ( $\pm$ )-18 gave mixtures of 19,22 , and 24 ; and at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{pD} 7.2)$, the half-lives for Claisen rearrangement and aromatization of 19 were approximately 3 and 8 min , respectively. These observations made it clear that meaningful results with chorismate mutase would require enantiomerically pure material.

Epoxy alcohol ( + )-27 (Scheme V) was selected as the starting material for synthesis of $(-)-18$, since it was available in $>98 \%$ ee from enzyme-catalyzed kinetic resolution of the butyrate ester. ${ }^{11}$ The regioselectivity of oxirane ring opening of 27 by $\mathrm{PhSe}^{-}$was quite sensitive to the choice of experimental conditions. After a survey of various conditions (variation of temperature and concentration and the presence or absence of mild Lewis acids with $\left.( \pm)-27,{ }^{16}\right)$ the optimum conditions found $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ and no catalyst) gave desired regioisomer (-)-28 (77\%) which could be separated from regioisomer ( + )-29 (9\%) by fractional recrys-

[^3]Table II. Mitsunobu Reaction of $\mathbf{3 1}$ under Various Conditions

|  | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIAD } \\ & \text { (equiv) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P} \\ \text { (equiv) } \end{gathered}$ | HOAc (equiv) | \% 31 | \% 34 | \% 35 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 100 | $n{ }^{\text {a }}$ | nd |
| 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4 | nd | 20 | 80 |
| 3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 21 | nd | 12 | 88 |
| 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 100 | 100 | nd | nd |

${ }^{a}$ Not detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Scheme VI

tallization followed by flash chromatography. Optimum conditions for the preparation of $( \pm)-29$ from $( \pm)-27$ are provided under Experimental Section. Reductive removal of the phenylselenide group was accomplished with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in refluxing benzene to provide $(+)-30$. Diol $(+)-\mathbf{3 0}$ was subjected to Mitsunobu conditions ${ }^{17}$ with diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, and HOAc to provide (-)-31 (70\%).
Product distribution in the Mitsunobu reaction was dependent on the ratio of reagents used. A survey of the conditions investigated is presented in Table II. The reagent ratio indicated by entry 1 gave exclusively 31 . When 2.5 equiv of DIAD and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ and 4.0 equiv of HOAc were used (entry 2), a 1:4 mixture of diene $34^{18}$ and diacetate 35 resulted; and the ratio increased to 1:7 with 21 equiv of HOAc (entry 3). Surprisingly, when 100 equiv of HOAc was used, the only product observed was 31.
A rationale for these transformations is depicted in Scheme VI. In the presence of excess $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ and HOAc , diol 30 would react with the first equivalent of DIAD to give a triphenylphosphorane intermediate. ${ }^{19}$ Displacement by acetic acid could be expected to occur exclusively at the allylic position to give 31. Compound 31 would react with a second equivalent of DIAD to form an alkoxyphosphonium salt. Elimination of triphenylphosphine oxide would give diene 34, while displacement with HOAc would lead to 35. Excess acetic acid would tend to suppress the elimination pathway; however, in the presence of a 100 equiv of HOAc, solvolysis to give back 31 would predominate.

Either enantiomer of epoxy alcohol 27 was therefore suitable for the synthesis. Diol (-)-30, obtained from (-)-27 as described for the conversion of ( + )-27 to ( + )-30, was converted to 35 by double Mitsunobu reaction in $58 \%$ isolated yield (conditions of entry 3, Table II). Diacetate 35 was subjected to methoxidecatalyzed ester interchange in MeOH to give ( + )- $\mathbf{3 0}$ in $78 \%$ yield. Thus, the yield from (-)-30 to ( + )- $\mathbf{3 0}$ was $45 \%$.

Mesylation of $(-)-31$ (Scheme V), followed by methoxidecatalyzed ester interchange to convert the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ acetoxy group to a hydroxyl group, and subsequent displacement of the mesylate group with PhSeLi in THF gave $(+)-\mathbf{3 2}$ in $51 \%$ yield from $(-)-31$.

[^4]Table III. Data for the Enzyme-Catalyzed Rearrangement of Enantiomerically Pure $\mathbf{1 9}^{a}$

| conditions | expt 1 |  |  |  |  | expt 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fraction | \% 19 | \% 22 | \% 24 | $[\mathrm{S}] /[\mathrm{E}]^{\text {b }}$ | fraction | \% 19 | \% 22 | \% 24 | $[\mathrm{S}] /[\mathrm{E}]^{\text {b }}$ |
| initial | 1 | 67 | 22 | 11 |  | 1 | 51 | 23 | 26 |  |
| buffer only |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 64 | 36 |  |
| inactivated enzyme | 2 | 0 | 70 | 30 | 4700 | 3 | 0 | 61 | 39 | 2600 |
| active enzyme | 3 | 0 | 79 | 21 | 90 | 4 | 0 | 76 | 24 | 30 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 82 | 18 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| theory ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 0 | 89 | 11 |  |  | 0 | 74 | 26 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Initial product distributions were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$; all other determinations were by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. ${ }^{b}$ The molar ratio of substrate to enzyme was calculated by assuming an enzyme molecular weight of 88000 for dimeric chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase from E. coll. ${ }^{c}$ Theory refers to the expected product distribution if all 19 present is rearranged to 22.

The optical purity of $(+)-32$ was checked by esterification with $(+)-\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride [( + )-MTPA-Cl]. ${ }^{20}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of only one diastereomer. Oxidation of $(+)-\mathbf{3 2}$ to the selenoxide with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NIO}_{4}$ followed by elimination gave very sensitive dienol $(-)-33$ in $75 \%$ yield after flash chromatography. Coupling of $(-) .33$ and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium acetate catalysis and quenching the monoanion of the phosphonate with gaseous formaldehyde ${ }^{11.21}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave $(-)-18$ in $\sim 34 \%$ yield from $(-)-33$. The overall yield from $(+)-27$ to $(-)-\mathbf{1 8}$ was $7 \%$.

Saponification of $(-)-\mathbf{1 8}$ with 1.0 M NaOH in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a mixture of 19,22 , and 24 (Scheme IV). Disodium 4 -deshydroxychorismate (19) proved to be extremely labile, and it could not be isolated in pure form. Usually, a $\sim 2: 1: 1$ mixture of 19/22/24 was obtained after saponification, adjustment of the pH to 6.6 with acidic resin, and concentration at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under high vacuum. This material was used in all subsequent thermal and enzymatic studies without any additional purification.

In $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (phosphate buffer, pD 7.2) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the half-lives for Claisen rearrangement and aromatization of 19 to 22 and 24 were 3.5 and 8 min , respectively; and in the same solvent (Tris- DCl buffer, pD 7.5) the values were 3.3 and 9 min (product ratio: $73 \%$ 22; $27 \% 24$ ). Thus, the half-life for disappearance of 19 under these conditions is 2.4 min . Under similar conditions the half-lives for Claisen rearrangement and aromatization of chorismate (1) are 935 and 8400 min , respectively. ${ }^{2}$ In $\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ OD 19 was substantially more stable; the Claisen rearrangement and aromatization half-lives were 220 and 70 min , respectively (product ratio: 23\% 22; 77\% 24).

Dimethyl 4 -deshydroxyprephenate ( $\mathbf{2 0}$ ) was prepared by heating $( \pm)-18$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to effect complete reaction. This gave a $\sim 1: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{2 0} / \mathbf{2 3}$, which was purified by flash chromatography to give $20(23 \%)$. Saponification of 20 with NaOH in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, followed by adjustment of the pH to 6.5 with acidic resin, gave 22 ( $91 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. Attempts to prepare pure 4 -deshydroxyprephenic acid (21) failed due to product instability. Salt $\mathbf{2 2}$ was tested as an inhibitor of the dehydrogenase activity of chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase from $E$. coli. ${ }^{22}$ With a modification of the procedure of Heyde and Morrison, ${ }^{23} 22$ was found to be a weak competitive inhibitor with $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.82 \mathrm{mM}([\mathrm{NAD}]=0.1 \mathrm{mM},[22]=1.0$ mM ). Prephenate was found to have a $V_{\max }=3.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ and a $K_{\mathrm{m}}=0.099 \mathrm{mM}$ under the conditions of the assay.

A detailed kinetic investigation of the mutase-catalyzed rearrangement of enantiomerically pure 19 was not possible under normal conditions with catalytic quantities of enzyme due to the thermal instability of $\mathbf{1 9}$. However, since $27 \%$ benzoate was formed in the thermal reaction (Tris buffer), it was possible to do experiments with excess enzyme to determine whether 19 was metabolized by chorismate mutase. The premise of the experiment was that if 19 were a viable substrate, then an increased amount
(20) Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.: Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543-2549.
(21) Lesuisse, D.; Berchtold, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4992-4997.
(22) Chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase from E. coli JFM30 was obtained from Dr. J. F. Morrison: (a) SampathKumar, P.; Morrison, J. F. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1982, 702, 204-211. (b) Bhosale, S. B.; Rood, J. 1.; Sneddon, M. K.; Morrison, J. F. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1982, 717, 6-11. (23) Heyde, E.; Morrison, J. F. Biochemistry 1978, 17, 1573-1580.
of Claisen rearrangement product relative to aromatization product would be observed.
The results of two separate experiments with excess enzyme are listed in Table III. Diester ( - )- $\mathbf{1 8}$ was saponified with NaOH as described earlier. After acidification, the filtrate was separated into four fractions of known volume, and each fraction was concentrated under high vacuum at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the fractions were handled in as identical a manner as possible. Fractions 2-4 were stored briefly ${ }^{24}$ at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of fraction 1 was obtained. It was assumed that the other fractions had the same product ratios. For both experiments, incubation solutions were made up to $2.0-\mathrm{mL}$ volume by combining appropriate amounts of Tris- HCl buffer with either inactivated chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase ${ }^{25}$ or active chorismate mu-tase-prephenate dehydrogenase ${ }^{26}$ (see Experimental Section). The appropriate incubation solution was then added to fraction 2,3 , or 4 at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting mixtures were kept at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After removal of the enzyme, as needed, each fraction was concentrated. Some fractions were exchanged with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reduce interference caused by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and glycerol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were then obtained to determine the final product distribution for each fraction (Table III).

In both experiments, the ratio of 22:24 that was formed in the presence of inactivated enzyme was found to be $\sim 73: 27$, after correction for the amount of 22 and 24 present at the start of the reaction. A similar ratio was obtained with buffer alone. However, when active enzyme was used, an enhanced amount of Claisen rearrangement relative to aromatization was observed. Thus, when the substrate to enzyme ratio was $\sim 90$, an enhancement of $\sim 47 \%$ over the thermal reaction was noted (experiment 1 , fraction 3 ). When the ratio was $\sim 45$, an enhancement of $\sim 63 \%$ was obtained (experiment 1, fraction 4). When the molar ratio was reduced further to $\sim 30$, a $\sim 100 \%$ enhancement was observed (experiment 2 , fraction 4). In other words, under these conditions 19 was completely metabolized by the enzyme.
From the data in Table III, it is possible to estimate the enzymic turnover number ( $k_{\text {cat }}$ ) and therefore the enzymic rate acceleration. In experiment 1 , the data from fractions 3 and 4 both give a $k_{\text {cat }}$ $=0.35 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (per dimer of enzyme). The enzyme was found to have a turnover number of $\sim 11 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (per dimer of enzyme) with chorismate; therefore, chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase catalyzes the rearrangement of 19 at $\sim 3 \%$ of the rate of the natural substrate. Additionally, since the rate constant for the uncatalyzed rearrangement is $3.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the enzymic rate acceleration is $\sim 100$-fold under the conditions of the assay. This is considered a minimum value since the high concentrations of enzyme used are not conditions where maximum velocity would be expected. In comparison, the rate of rearrangement of chorismate is accelerated by a factor of $\sim 2 \times 10^{6}$ by chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{2}$

From these results we conclude that the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ hydroxyl group is neither essential for binding of the substrate to the active site nor needed for catalysis of the Claisen rearrangement. Any mecha-

## (24) In general, the samples were used within 1 h .

(25) The enzyme ( $0.76 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})^{22}$ was denatured by heating on a steam bath for 30 min .
(26) The enzyme had a mutase specific activity of $7.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}_{\mathrm{min}^{-1} \mathrm{mg}^{-1} \text { and }}$ a $K_{\mathrm{m}}=0.22 \mathrm{mM}$. It was used as a $6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution.

## Chart II


nism for the enzymic rearrangement of chorismate to prephenate which utilizes the hydroxyl moiety as a crucial element can therefore be eliminated. However, hydrogen-bond formation involving the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ hydroxyl group still could be important for enhanced stabilization of the transition state and to provide the rate acceleration observed with the natural substrate.

In summary, the structural requirements for catalysis of the Claisen rearrangement by chorismate mutase are indicated in the dotted box for the chorismate structure in Chart II. In addition to the allyl vinyl ether moiety, the enzyme requires only the two carboxylate groups for active site binding and catalysis. The $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ hydroxy group, although not required, may increase catalytic efficiency. Whether a cyclic substrate is required for catalytic activity is yet to be tested. In light of the conformational restraints imposed by a cyclic structure, it is expected that noncyclic analogues of chorismate would be metabolized by chorismate mutase with only poor catalytic efficiency, if at all.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{27}$

trans-1-[(1-Carboxyethenyl)oxy]-2-hydroxy-3,5-cyclohexadiene (5). The dimethyl ester ${ }^{8}$ of $5(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $1: 1$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}$ and cooled in an ice bath. Aqueous $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(190 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added dropwise, and the solution was stirred for 0.5 h . After acidification to pH 4 with Amberlite IR-120 resin, the solution was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a quantitative yield ( 34 mg ) of 5 as an oil. Trituration with pentane gave a low-melting, hygroscopic solid: $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3500,1690,1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 6.02-5.88 (4 H, m), $5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; high-resolution mass spectrum, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 182.0579, found 182.0579 .

Methyl ( $3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta)-3-[[($ Dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]-4-hydroxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylate (11). To a suspension of diphenyl diselenide ( $4.12 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was added in small portions until the yellow color had been discharged. Epoxide $10^{11}(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH ( 15 mL ) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After $34 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{HOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and most of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was cooled in an ice bath. Ether ( 150 mL ) was added followed by the slow addition of $5 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. After $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ evolution had ceased, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous portion was extracted with additional ether ( 50 mL ). The combined ether extracts were dried and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel with ether/hexane ( $15: 85$ ) until the yellow-orange band of diphenyl diselenide had eluted and then with ether/hexane ( $1: 3$ ) gave $11\left(R_{f}=0.38,6.09 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%\right)$ as a pale yellow oil: IR (thin film) $3520,1719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.62(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.47$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.23(1 \mathrm{H}$, sextet), $2.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18$ and $5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.92(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.16(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d})$.

Methyl ( $3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclo-hexene-1-carboxylate (12). Selenide $11(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 150 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and was cooled to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. A solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexane ( 4.8 mL of $2.35 \mathrm{M}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added over a $10-\mathrm{min}$ period. After 5 min , dimethyl sulfate $(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}$, 22 mmol ) was added in one portion. The solution was kept at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , warmed to room temperature over a $1-\mathrm{h}$ period, and allowed to stir for 3 h . The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and to the residue was added ether ( 100 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and NaCl $(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$. The organic extract was separated, and the aqueous phase was
(27) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained at 250,270 , or $300 \mathrm{MHz} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained at 67.9 or 75.4 MHz . Unless otherwise indicated, NMR spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Solutions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Flash chromatography refers to the procedure developed by Still and co-workers: Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923-2925.
extracted with additional ether ( 50 mL ). The combined extracts were dried and concentrated. The residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel with EtOAc/hexane ( $1: 19$ ) as the initial eluent. When the methoxy compound began to elute [ $R_{f}=0.33$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:9)], the solvent was changed to EtOAc/hexane (1:9) to give $3.68 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$ of the methoxy derivative as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.62(\mathrm{l}$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.57-3.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}), 0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.16(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d})$.

To a solution of the methoxy derivative ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature, $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added with stirring. After 2 h solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added, and the mixture was concentrated without heating. Ether $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, the organic phase was separated, and the aqueous portion was extracted with ether ( 40 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried, concentrated, and flash chromatographed on silica gel (1:1 EtOAc/hexane) to give $0.987 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of $12\left(R_{f}=0.35\right)$ as an oil which crystallized on standing. Recrystallization from EtOAc/hexane gave pure 12: mp $106-107.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $3505,1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.61-3.54$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ with s at 3.57 ), $3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.83-2.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

Methyl ( $3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl) ethenyl $]$ oxy]-4-methoxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylate (13). A solution of 12 $(2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 5.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dimethyl oxomalonate ${ }^{28}(2.12 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 4.0 mL ) was heated under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . The solution was diluted with THF ( 25 mL ) and cooled to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pyridine $(0.630$ $\mathrm{mL}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(0.445 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.10 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was slowly warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1.5 h and left overnight at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered, concentrated, and flash chromatographed on silica gel with initial elution with $3: 7 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane. Further elution with EtOAc/hexane (1:1) gave 3.17 g of chloromalonate derivative that was mixed with $\mathrm{NaOAc}(2 \mathrm{~g})$, HOAc ( 4.5 mL ), EtOAc ( 25 mL ), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Zinc powder ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 4$ equiv) was added, and after 10 h the mixture was concentrated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was mixed with ether ( 100 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (50 $\mathrm{mL}) . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added in small portions with stirring. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with additional ether ( 100 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried and evaporated to a semisolid that was dissolved in a mixture of warm $\mathrm{EtOAc}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hexane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitate was collected by filtration and air-dried to give 1.52 g ( $54 \%$ ) of methyl ( $3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-[bis(methoxycarbonyl)methoxy]-4-methoxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclo-hexene-1-carboxylate: $\mathrm{mp} 87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after recrystallization from Et $\mathrm{OAc} /$ hexane) .

A solution of the malonate ( $287 \mathrm{mg}, 0.610 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Eschenmoser's salt $(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , warmed to room temperature, and left overnight. The solution was washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic phase was separated, and the aqueous portion was extracted with ether ( 10 mL .). The organic portions were concentrated, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{mg})$ and dimethyl sulfate ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred for 9 h , concentrated, and treated with ether ( 10 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(130 \mathrm{mg})$. After being stirred for 12 h , the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( 10 mL ), and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel ( $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave $160 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%)$ of $13\left(R_{f}=0.45\right)$ as an oil which crystallized on standing at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : mp $64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after recrystallization from EtOAc/hexane); IR (KBr) $1729,1714,1623 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.65-3.47(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.77(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18$ and $6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J=18,10$, and 3 Hz$)$.

Methyl trans-3-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-4-methoxy-1,5-cyclohexadiene-1-carboxylate (14). A solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%, 0.090 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.50 mmol ) was added with stirring to a solution of $13(107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.252$ mmol ) in acetone ( 3.6 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min , diisopropylamine $(0.070 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the solution was warmed to room temperature. After 1 h the solution was concentrated, and ether ( 5.0 $\mathrm{mL})$ and a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. After vigorous stirring, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with additional ether ( 5.0 mL ). The combined ether extracts were dried and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel ( $1: 3 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) gave $49.3 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of 14 as an oil which eventually solidified: mp $78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after recrystallization from Et$\mathrm{OAc} /$ hexane $) ; \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1735,1719,1627 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}$,
(28) Prepared from dimethyl malonate by the literature procedure for the diethyl ester: Pardo, S. N.; Salomon, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2598-2599.
br s), $6.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9$ and 3 Hz$) 5.54$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=10$ and 3 Hz$), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10$ and 3 Hz$), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.4 MHz ) $\delta 164.8,163.4,149.2,133.3,129.0$, 128.9, 122.1, $97.2,78.9,78.8,57.3,52.4,52.1$; high-resolution mass spectrum, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6} 268.0947$, found 268.0945 .
( $3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-[(1-Carboxyethenyl) oxy]-4-methoxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylate (15). A solution of NaOH ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.75 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.75 mmol ) was added dropwise to a solution of $13(0.2154 \mathrm{~g}, 0.597$ mmol) in THF ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred for 1.5 h and then concentrated, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The pH was adjusted to $3.0(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl})$, and the solution was saturated with NaCl and extracted with ether $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ether extracts were dried and concentrated to give 15 as a clear oil that was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}), 5.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m})$, $3.66-3.49[5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ with $\mathrm{s}(3 \mathrm{H})$ at 3.59$], 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).
trans-3-[(1-Carboxyethenyl)oxy]-4-methoxy-1,5-cyclohexadiene-1carboxylic Acid (6). A solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%, 0.230 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring to a solution of $\mathbf{1 5}$ (prepared above) in MeOH ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $15 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(30 \%, 0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was warmed to room temperature. After 1 h the mixture was concentrated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The pH was adjusted to $7.0(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH})$, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extracts were back-extracted with cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, the pH of the combined aqueous portions was adjusted to $3.0(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}), \mathrm{NaCl}(2 \mathrm{~g})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with cold ether $(3 \times 8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ether extracts were dried and concentrated. Flash chromatography on silica gel ( 10 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}$ $\mathrm{OAc} /$ hexane followed by pure EtOAc) gave fractions containing material of $R_{f}=0.15$ ( $99: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{HOAc}$, streaks) that were concentrated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.0 \mathrm{M})$ and cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 days. The white solid was collected by filtration, washed with a small portion of cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and dried under high vacuum to give 29 mg ( $20 \%$ from 13) of 6: mp 117-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); IR (KBr) 3700-2300, 1704, 1621 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9$ and $2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9$ and 3 Hz$), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10$ and 3 Hz$), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J$ $=10$ and 2 Hz$), 3.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; high-resolution mass spectrum, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6} 240.0634$, found 240.0645 .

Dimethyl 4-O-Methylprephenate (16), A solution of 14 ( 64.2 mg , 0.239 mmol ) was heated in benzene ( 5 mL ) to gentle reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 10 h , and the benzene was removed under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography on silica gel ( $1: 19 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave $27.8 \mathrm{mg}(43 \%$, $R_{f}=0.32$ ) of 16 as a clear oil: 1 R (thin film) $1732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ $6.04(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.28(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; high-resolution mass spectrum, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) 236.0685$, found 236.0687 .

Disodium 4-O-Methylprephenate (17). A solution of 16 ( 11.1 mg , $0.414 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $1: 1 \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring, and $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 0.125 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After 30 min at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was kept at room temperature for 30 min . The solvent was removed under vacuum at room temperature. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the pH was adjusted to 7.3 by the addition of small amounts of Amberlite IR-120 resin (careful, delayed response). The solution was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under high vacuum. Several portions of absolute $\mathrm{EtOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the residue followed by evaporation to induce solidification. The resulting solid was dried under high vacuum ( 0.5 mm ) to give 14 mg of $17:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 6.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s), 3.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}$, partially exchanged with deuterium).
( $\pm$ )-Methyl 3-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-1,5-cyclo-hexadiene-1-carboxylate (18). Ester 18 was prepared by the literature procedure. ${ }^{8}$

Methyl 5-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1carboxylate (25). Ester 25 was made from methyl 5 -hydroxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1-carboxylate ${ }^{12,29}$ by the same procedure used to prepare 18. For 25: 1 R (neat) $1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz ) $\delta 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.6$ and 5.4 Hz$), 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.6 and 3.9 Hz ), $5.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.6$ and $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ 18.8 and 7.2 Hz$), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=18.8,8.3$, and 1.6 Hz ).
(-)-Methyl ( $3 \alpha, 4 \beta, 5 \alpha$ )-3,5-Dihydroxy-4-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclo-hexene-1-carboxylate $[(-)-28]$. Selenophenol ${ }^{30}$ ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$
(29) Brion, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 5299-5302.
(30) Foster. D. G. Organic Syntheses; Wiley: New York, 1955; Collective 111, pp 771-773.
equiv) was dissolved in dry THF ( 700 mL , from Na ) in a flame-dried flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and then cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of $2.32 \mathrm{M} n$ - BuLi ( $9.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv, in hexanes) over several minutes via syringe gave a colorless solution, which was warmed to room temperature for 5 min and then cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice $/ \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ). To the selenide solution was added ( + )-27 ( $3.564 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 100 mL ) and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, over 15 min via cannula. After 2 h , the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of an aliquot indicated complete reaction. The mixture was concentrated to $\sim 10-\mathrm{mL}$ volume, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added, and the aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 6 $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 7.37 g of a pale yellow solid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated a $6: 1$ mixture of $(-)-28 /(+)-29$ which was fractionally recrystallized from ethyl acetate to give $1.294 \mathrm{~g}(19 \%)$ of pure ( - )-28. The residue from the mother liquor was recrystallized twice from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether to give $2.142 \mathrm{~g}(31 \%)$ of $(-)-28$. The combined mother liquors were flash chromatographed on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether: 1:100, 0.5 $\mathrm{L} ; 1: 10,0.5 \mathrm{~L} ; 1: 5,3.0 \mathrm{~L} ; 1: 2,2.7 \mathrm{~L} ; 1: 1,1.0 \mathrm{~L} ; 2: 1,1.0 \mathrm{~L} .7 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) to give another $1.85 \mathrm{~g}(27 \%)$ of solid, for a total yield of $77 \%$ of ( - )-28: $\mathrm{mp} 119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-39.1^{\circ}\left(c 0.501, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (solid deposit) $3400-3359,1709,1657,1435,1246 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.62(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.5$ and 7.9 Hz$), 2.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=18$ and 6.2 Hz$), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J=18,7.9$, and 2.7 Hz$)$.

In addition, $0.64 \mathrm{~g}(9 \%)$ of ( + )-29 was obtained: $\mathrm{mp} 142-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (softened at $136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}+118^{\circ}\left(c 0.500, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; 1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr})$ 3272-3241, 1723, 1655, 1242, $1088 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.34$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.56$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=19$ and 4.9 Hz$)$, $2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=19$ and 9.0 Hz$)$.

Methyl ( $3 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3,4-Dihydroxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclohexene-1carboxylate [( $\pm$ )-29]. Selenophenol ( $0.74 \mathrm{~mL} .6 .92 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) was dissolved in dry THF ( 10 mL , from Na ) in a flame-dried flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and then was cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of $2.35 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.67 \mathrm{~mL}$, $3.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv, in hexanes) over several minutes via syringe gave a slightly yellow solution, which was warmed to room temperature for 10 min and then recooled to $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To epoxy alcohol (土)-27 (0.558 $\mathrm{g}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) in dry THF ( 5 mL ) over 15 min via cannula. The resulting solution was added to the selenide solution at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min via cannula. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. After 21 h , the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by extraction with ethyl acetate $(4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 2.048 g of a yellow foam. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a $5: 20: 1$ ratio of $( \pm)-28 /( \pm)-29 /( \pm)$-bromohydrin (29 with Br instead of PhSe ) which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel [ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $2: 3$ ); $3.5 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ column] to give 0.662 g ( $57 \%$, corrected for impurities) of ( $\pm$ )-29 which was contaminated with $4 \%$ (by weight) of ( $\pm$ )-28 and $3 \%$ (by weight) of $( \pm)$-bromohydrin. Recrystallization from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether gave pure ( $\pm$ )-29: $\mathrm{mp} 122.5-123^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In addition, 0.184 g ( $17 \%$ ) of $( \pm)$ - 28 was obtained.
(+)-Methyl (3 $2,5 \alpha$ )-3,5-Dihydroxy-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylate $[(+)-30]$. To ( - )-28 ( $5.719 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in dry benzene ( 150 mL , from Na ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and heated to a gentle reflux, was added $n$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ ( $9.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and a spatula tip full of AlBN. The mixture was kept at a gentle reflux for 12 h , at which time TLC analysis indicated complete reaction. The solution was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ layer was extracted with hexanes $(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated to give 3.31 g of a slightly yellow oil. The crude mixture was used in succeeding experiments. In another experiment pure ( + )- $\mathbf{3 0}$ was obtained by flash chromatography on silica gel: $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}+65.9^{\circ}$ (c 0.534, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); 1R (neat) 3403-3337, 1717, 1649, 1439, $1262 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.77(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.48$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
$(-)$-Methyl ( $3 \beta, 5 \alpha$ )-3-Acetoxy-5-hydroxy-1-cyclohexene-1carboxylate $[(-)-31]$. To crude diol $(+)-30(3.311 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 16 \mathrm{mmol}$, purity $\sim 88 \%$ ) dissolved in dry THF ( 80 mL , from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2} / \mathrm{Na}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ were added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(6.055 \mathrm{~g}, 23.1 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 1.4$ equiv $)$ and acetic acid $(2.60 \mathrm{~mL}$, $45.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.8$ equiv). The mixture was cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $3.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.04$ equiv) was added over 30 min via syringe pump. ${ }^{31}$ The reaction was warmed to room temperature, and after 20 h the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed complete conversion to $(-)-31$. The mixture was concentrated to an orange oil which was flash chromatographed on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petro-
(31) Syringe pump: Sage 341A, VWR Scientific.
leum ether: $1: 5,1.2 \mathrm{~L} ; 1: 2,4.5 \mathrm{~L} ; 1: 1,1.5 \mathrm{~L} .7 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) to give 2.393 g [ $64 \%$ from $(-)-28$ ] of $(-)-\mathbf{3 1}$. An additional 0.82 g of $(-)-31$ contaminated with triphenylphosphine oxide was obtained. Flash chromatography as described above gave 0.240 g [ $6 \%$ from ( - )-28] of pure $(-)-31$, for a combined yield of $70 \%$ of $(-)-31:[\alpha]^{22} D^{-187^{\circ}}$ (c 0.766, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3486-3434,2953,1721,1655,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ $\delta 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s), $2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddm}, J=18$ and 5.7 Hz$)$, $2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}$, complex m).
(+)-Methyl ( $3 \alpha, 5 \alpha$ )-3,5-Dihydroxy-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylate $[(+)-30$ from ( - )-30]. To ( - ) $-30(46.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL , from Na ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ were added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.6 equiv) and acetic acid ( $310 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 21$ equiv). DIAD ( 133 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6$ equiv) was added over 5 min via syringe. After overnight stirring, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed remaining 31, so additional $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv) and DIAD ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03$ mmol, 0.1 equiv) were added. After a total of 30 h , the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a $1: 7$ ratio of $34 / 35$. The reaction mixture was concentrated and flash chromatographed on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether: $1: 10,400 \mathrm{~mL} ; 1: 5,200 \mathrm{~mL} .2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) to give 38.2 mg ( $58 \%$ ) of 35: IR (neat) $2955,1738,1655,1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ $6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=18$ and 5.4 Hz$), 2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J=18,7.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. In a separate experiment, previously reported ${ }^{18}$ acetate 34 was obtained.

To a solution of diacetate 35 ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$, purity $\sim 92 \%$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, under argon and cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}$ ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) via syringe. After 5 $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ analysis indicated complete reaction. Acetic acid ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added, and the mixture was concentrated. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ) and washed with $5 \%$ $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 141 mg of an oil. The oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel [ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $2: 1$ ), 500 $\mathrm{mL} ; 2.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column] to give $94 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of $(+)-30$ which was identical with $(+)-30$ obtained previously.
( + )-Methyl ( $3 \beta, 5 \beta$ )-3-Hydroxy-5-(phenylseleno)-1-cyclohexene-1carboxylate $[(+)-32]$. To a solution of ( - )-31 ( $2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 40 mL ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was added DMAP ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and the mixture was cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mesyl chloride ( $0.960 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added dropwise via syringe pump, ${ }^{31}$ which gave a copious precipitate. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 4 h . The reaction was quenched by washing the reaction mixture was saturated aqueous $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$, followed by extraction of the aqueous layers with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 15$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 3.024 g of crude mesylate as an oil which was used directly in the next reaction: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18$ and 4.8 Hz$), 2.61$ ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18$ and 6.1 Hz$), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

To a solution of the mesylate $(3.024 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(30$ mL , from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ ) at $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}(4.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1$ mmol, 0.2 equiv) over 15 min via syringe pump. ${ }^{31}$ TLC analysis after 40 min showed no remaining starting material. The reaction was quenched by addition of acetic acid ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) followed by concentration to $\sim 5-\mathrm{mL}$ volume. The concentrate was taken up in ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) and washed with $5 \% \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous portion was extracted with ethyl acetate $(6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated. This gave 2.640 g of crude alcohol which was used directly in the next reaction: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.05$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=19 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=19 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=14,6.8$, and 3.4 Hz ).

To a solution of selenophenol ${ }^{30}(1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise 2.32 M $n-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.03\right.$ equiv) via syringe pump. ${ }^{31}$ The resulting solution was added via cannula to the mesylate ( $2.640 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4$ mmol ) in dry THF ( 10 mL ). The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. After 13 h , TLC analysis showed no starting material, and the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5 mL ). The mixture was concentrated to $\sim 10-\mathrm{mL}$ volume, taken up in ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ), and washed withh $5 \% \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous portion was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \times 25$ mL ); the combined organic layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 3.36 g of a viscous oil. The oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether: $1: 5,1800 \mathrm{~mL} ; 1: 2,500 \mathrm{~mL}$. $4 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) to give 1.640 g [51\% from (-)-31] of crystalline $(+)$-32: $\mathrm{mp} 78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (sublimes); $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}+11.7^{\circ}\left(c 0.316, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{32} \mathrm{IR}$ (neat) $3443-3397,3056,2950,1717,1651,1578,1478,1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR $\delta 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$, $3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=19 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 1.71 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).
(-)-Methyl 3-Hydroxy-1,5-cyclohexadiene-1-carboxylate [(-)-33]. To a solution of selenide $(+)-32(104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\left(95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv) and $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NlO}_{4}(289 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. After $105 \mathrm{~min},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated complete reaction. The orange solution was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous portion was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 $\times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 296 mg of a tacky solid. The solid was triturated with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and suction filtered, and the filtrate cake was washed with additional ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $1: 1,5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined filtrates were concentrated to $\sim 1-\mathrm{mL}$ volume and flash chromatographed on silica gel [ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $(1: 5,300 \mathrm{~mL})+1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 1.2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column] to give 40.7 mg ( $75 \%$, corrected for aromatic impurity) of $(-)-33^{18}$ which was contaminated with $6 \%$ methyl $m$-hydroxybenzoate: $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-184^{\circ}$ (c $0.383, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, corrected for aromatic impurity); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.7$ and $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(-)-Dimethyl 4-Deshydroxychorismate [(-)-18]. To a solution of alcohol ( - ) $-\mathbf{3 3}(245 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene ( 8 mL , from Na ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. A solution of trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $455 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) in dry benzene ( 2 mL ) was added, and the green mixture was heated to 75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right.$ evolution). After 3 h , the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated complete reaction. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated to an oil. The oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether: $1: 1,800 \mathrm{~mL} ; 2: 1,800 \mathrm{~mL} .3 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) to give 307 mg ( $\sim 47 \%$, estimated purity $\sim 82 \%$ ) of phosphonate as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.91-6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}$, $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.53,4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$, 3.90-3.77 ( 12 H , complex), 2.75-2.37 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).

The phosphonate ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 82 \%$ purity) was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL , from Na ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice/acetone). A 1.0 M solution of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in hexanes was added over 10 min via syringe. Gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, $129 \mathrm{mg}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 12$ equiv $)^{33}$ was bubbled into the cold solution over 20 min . TLC analysis indicated complete reaction, so the mixture was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was extracted with cold ethyl acetate ( 5 $\times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were kept cold ( $\sim 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) during this operation. The combined extracts were dried and suction filtered. The filtrate was again dried and gravity filtered, and the filtrate was split in four fractions. Each fraction was concentrated under high vacuum to give a total of 174 mg [ $\sim 34 \%$ from ( - )-33, estimated purity $\sim 73 \%$ ] of ( - )-18 as an oil. ${ }^{34}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of this material was identical with that of racemic 18 and was used in further studies without additional purification. Since $(-)-18$ was impure, only an estimate of the optical rotation can be given: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }^{-168^{\circ}}$ (c0.409 at $\sim 73 \%$ purity, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 2955 , $1725,1622,1439,1258,1202,1169 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=9.8$ and 1.7 Hz$), 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.8$ and $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=9.3$ and 3.8 Hz ), $4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.65-2.57(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.6$ (s), 163.6 (s), 149.3 (s), 131.7 (d), 130.3 (s), 126.8 (d), 121.5 (d), 96.7 (t), 71.1 (d), 52.5 (q), 52.0 (q), 27.9 (t).

The thermal half-life for disappearance of $( \pm)-18$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to be 220 min , with equal amounts of 20 and $\mathbf{2 3}$ formed. The individual half-life for formation of both $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ was therefore 440 min .

Disodium 4-Deshydroxychorismate (19). To (-)-18 (12.6 mg, 0.039 mmol $)^{34}$ in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1,1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1.0 M NaOH $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.1$ equiv), with just enough THF $(\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ added to maintain homogeneity. After 50 min , TLC analysis indicated complete conversion. Amberlite IR-120 acidic resin was added, and the pH was adjusted to 6.6. The resin was removed by suction filtration and rinsed with additional THF ( $3 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the filtrate was partitioned into four fractions of known volume which were kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. THF was

## (32) See footnote 20 of ref 11 for the procedure for the preparation of the

 Mosherester.(33) Paraformaldehyde was heated at an oil bath temperature of $\sim 175$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a constant stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ passing over it and through $1 / 4-\mathrm{in}$. Teflon tubing into the reaction mixture.
(34) This was calculated by assuming $73 \%$ purity by weight of $(-)-18$, the remainder consisting of methyl benzoate ( $2 \%$ by weight) and a trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate byproduct ( $25 \%$ by weight).
removed on a high-vacuum rotary evaporator; the remaining aqueous solution was snap-frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was removed under high vacuum at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After removal of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 1 \mathrm{~h})$, three of the fractions were stored at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used in further experiments. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the last sample in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ was obtained quickly, and this showed a $2: 1: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{1 9 / 2 2} / \mathbf{2 4}$. In subsequent experiments a similar ratio of products was obtained, and it was assumed that the conversion of $(-)-\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 2}$, and $\mathbf{2 4}$ was quantitative: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 19 $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.8$ and 4.9 Hz$), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), \sim 4.9$ (carbinol proton, obscured by HOD), $4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(2$ H, m).

Diacid 7 was produced as described above, except that the pH was adjusted to 3.5 prior to isolation: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.4$ and 1.5 Hz$), 6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=$ 9.4 and 4.7 Hz$), 5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=3.7$ and $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.72$ (acid protons $\left.+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.61$ (2 H, m).

The thermal half-life for disappearance of 19 at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was measured in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, phosphate buffer (prepared by evaporation of pH 7.2 phosphate buffer, followed by dissolution in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and Tris- DCl buffer (prepared by evaporation of $\mathrm{pH} 7.5 \mathrm{Tris}-\mathrm{HCl}$ buffer, followed by dissolution in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The half-life was found to be 51 min in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and only 2.4 min in both phosphate and Tris- DCl buffer. The ratio of $22 / 24$ formed in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ was $23: 77$, and therefore, the individual half-life for [3.3] rearrangement was 220 min , while the half-life for aromatization was 70 min . The ratio of $\mathbf{2 2} / \mathbf{2 4}$ formed in phosphate buffer was 73:27, and therefore, the individual half-life for Claisen rearrangement was 3.5 min , while the half-life for aromatization was 8.0 min . Finally, the ratio of $\mathbf{2 2 / 2 4}$ formed in Tris-DCI buffer was 70:30, and therefore, the individual half-life for Claisen rearrangement was 3.3 min , while the half-life for aromatization was 9.0 min .

Disodium 4-Deshydroxyprephenate (22). To a solution of quaternary ammonium salt ${ }^{8}$ for fragmentation to $18(267 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.91 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) over 5 min . The mixture was stirred for another 10 min , diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 90 mg of crude ( $\pm$ )-18 as an oil. The oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was kept $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to give a $1: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{2 0} / \mathbf{2 3}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the oily residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel [ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $1: 5$ ), $1.2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ column] to give $33 \mathrm{mg}(23 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 0}: 1 \mathrm{R}$ (neat) $3040,2965,1735,1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 5.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.4$ and 3.2 Hz$), 5.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.4$ and 1.8 Hz$), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .^{1}$ To a solution of $20(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5: 1,3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1.0 M NaOH ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) via syringe. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 6 h . At this time TLC analysis indicated complete reaction. Amberlite IR-1 20 acidic resin was added, and the pH was adjusted to 6.5 . The resin was removed by suction filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to give 32 mg ( $91 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 2}$ as an off-white solid. An analytical sample was prepared by precipitation from acetone: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 5.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.4$ and 1.8 Hz$)$, $5.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.4$ and 3.2 Hz$), 3.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangable), 2.60 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 5.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10$ and 2.4 Hz ), 5.58 (2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangable), $2.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Disodium salt 22 did not melt at temperatures below $310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Attempts to isolate pure 4 -deshydroxyprephenic acid (21) failed due to instability of the product under acidic conditions.

Enzyme Experiments with (-)-19. Experiment 1. A sample of (-)-18 ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was saponified and split in four fractions of known volume as described above: ${ }^{35}$ fraction $1(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$,
fraction $2(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$, fraction $3(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol})$, and fraction $4(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of fraction 1 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ showed a 67:22:11 ratio of $\mathbf{1 9 / 2 2 / 2 4}$. Incubation solutions were made up to $2.0-\mathrm{mL}$ volume by combining $\mathrm{pH} 7.5 \mathrm{Tris-HCl}$ buffer $(\mathrm{A})^{36}$ with inactivated chorismate mutase $(\mathrm{B})^{25}$ or active chorismate mutase (C). ${ }^{26}$ These solutions were added to fractions $2-4$ at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting mixtures were immediately immersed in a constanttemperature bath at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : fraction $2(1.868 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{A}+0.132 \mathrm{~mL}$ of B), fraction 3 ( 1.833 mL of $A+0.167 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $C$ ), and fraction 4 ( 1.667 mL of $\mathrm{A}+0.333 \mathrm{~mL}$ of C ). After 1 h , fractions 3 and 4 were heated briefly at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to denature the enzyme. Each fraction was filtered through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ Millex-HV (Millipore) disposable HPLC filter, and each filter was rinsed with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ to ensure complete transfer. The filtrate of each fraction was concentrated on a high-vacuum rotary evaporator and exchanged several times with more $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of each fraction was obtained in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Thus, fraction 2 showed a 70:30 ratio, fraction $3^{37}$ showed a $79: 21$ ratio, and fraction $4^{37}$ showed an 82:18 ratio of 22/24.

Experiment 2. A sample of $(-)-18(12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ was saponified and split in four fractions of known volume as described above: ${ }^{35}$ fraction $1(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$, fraction $2(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$, fraction $3(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$, and fraction $4(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of fraction 1 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ showed a $51: 23: 26$ ratio of $19 / 22 / 24$. Incubation solutions were made up to $2.0-\mathrm{mL}$ volume by combining pH 7.5 Tris- HCl buffer (A) ${ }^{36}$ with inactivated chorismate mutase (B) ${ }^{25}$ or active chorismate mutase (C). ${ }^{26}$ These solutions were added to fractions $2-4$ at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting mixtures were immediately immersed in a constant-temperature bath at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : fraction $2(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of A$)$, fraction $3(1.868 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{A}+0.132 \mathrm{~mL}$ of B$)$, and fraction 4 ( 1.5 mL of $\mathrm{A}+0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of C ). After 1 h , each fraction was concentrated on a high-vacuum rotary evaporator. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of fractions 2 and 3 were obtained in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Fraction 2 showed a 64:36 ratio of $\mathbf{2 2} / \mathbf{2 4}$, and fraction 3 showed a $61: 39$ ratio of $\mathbf{2 2} / \mathbf{2 4}$. The exchangeable protons of fraction 4 were removed by dissolution in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ), followed by concentration in vacuo. This procedure was repeated twice. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and passed through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ Spartan-25 (Schleicher \& Schuell) disposable HPLC filter to remove denatured enzyme. The filtrate was concentrated, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the residue in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ showed a $76: 24$ ratio of $\mathbf{2 2} / \mathbf{2 4} .^{37}$
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(35) The amount of 19 in each fraction was estimated by assuming a quantitative conversion from ( - )-18 to 19 and was corrected for the amount of 22 and 24 present at the start for the experiment.
(36) The buffer was 100 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), which was adjusted to pH 7.5 by addition of HCl . It also contained 1.0 mM EDTA and 1.0 mM dithiothreitol. Bovine serum albumin ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added just prior to use. ${ }^{23}$
(37) The enzyme was stored in buffer that contained glycerol, ${ }^{22 a}$ which obscured a large portion of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. This problem could be alleviated by removal of exchangeable protons as described and also by decoupling at $\sim 3.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. These actions removed the majority of the signals caused by glycerol.
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